



9.2. Exposure scenario 2: Use at industrial sites - Use of disilver oxide in the production of batteries

Market sector: Batteries

Sector of use: SU 16: Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products, electrical equipment

| Environment contributing scenario(s): | | | SPERC |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| CS 1 | Use of disilver oxide in the production of batteries | ERC 5 | Eurometaux SPERC 5.2.v3 |
| Worker contributing scenario(s): | | | SWED |
| CS 2 | Raw material handling | PROC 26, PROC 1, PROC 3 | |
| CS 3 | Mixing | PROC 5 | |
| CS 4 | Pelletizing | PROC 14 | |
| CS 5 | Final handling | PROC 21 | |
| CS 6 | Cleaning and maintenance | PROC 28 | |

Subsequent service life exposure scenario(s):

ES3: Service life (consumers) - Service life / Use of batteries

9.2.1. Env CS 1: Use of disilver oxide in the production of batteries (ERC 5)

Assessment entity group used for the assessment of this contributing scenario: ERA

9.2.1.1. Conditions of use

| Amount used, frequency and duration of use (or from service life) |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual use amount at site: ≤ 10 tonnes/year <i>Typically between 5 - 10T are used on a site.</i> <i>All the amounts are expressed as Ag as this is the driver for the environmental risk assessment.</i> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Daily use amount at site: ≤ 0.045 tonnes/day <i>Default number of emission days are derived from a multi-metal background database of measured site-specific release factors collected under the former Directive of New and Existing Substances and REACH 2010 registration dossiers. 220 is the 10th percentile of reported site-specific number of emission days for 67 sites.</i> |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On site treatment of off-air: Electrostatic precipitators or wet electrostatic precipitators or cyclones or fabric/bag filter or ceramic/metal mesh filter according to the BAT Reference Document in the Non-Ferrous Metals Industry <i>Direct air emissions should be reduced by implementing one or more of the following RMMs (air concentration range for which the RMM is suitable is specified in parenthesis):</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Electrostatic precipitators using wide electrode spacing: $5 - 15 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ Wet electrostatic precipitators: $< 5 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ Cyclones, but as primary collector: $< 50 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ Fabric or bag filters: high efficiency in controlling fine particulate (melting): achieve emission values $< 5 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$. Membrane filtration techniques can achieve $< 1 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ Ceramic and metal mesh filters. PM10 particles are removed: 0.1 mg/Nm^3 Wet scrubbers: $< 4 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ On site treatment of wastewater: Chemical precipitation or sedimentation or filtration or electrolysis or reverse osmosis or ion exchange according to the BAT Reference Document in the Non-Ferrous Metals Industry (2017) applying minimum xx% removal efficiency <i>Direct water emissions should be reduced by implementing one or more of the following RMMs:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical precipitation: used primarily to remove the metal ions (e.g. the use of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ to a pH 11: $>99\%$ removal efficiency; the use of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ to a pH 11: 96% removal efficiency) Sedimentation (e.g. Na_2S, pH 11, $>99\%$ removal efficiency) • Filtration: used as final clarification step (e.g. |



ultrafiltration, pH 5.1: 93% removal efficiency, nanofiltration: 97% removal efficiency, reverse osmosis, pH 4-11: 99% removal efficiency)

• Electrolysis: for low metal concentration at about 2 g/L (e.g. electrodialysis: 13% removal efficiency within 2 hours, membrane electrolysis, electrochemical precipitation, pH 4-10, >99% removal efficiency) • Reverse osmosis: extensively used for the removal of dissolved metals; Ion exchange: final cleaning step in the removal of heavy metal from process wastewater (e.g. 90% removal efficiency for clinoptinolite and 100% removal efficiency for synthetic zeolite)

Following the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control – BAT Reference note document, the treatment methods are very much dependent on the specific processes and the metals involved. More information can be found in the BAT Reference Document for the Non-Ferrous Metals Industry (2017).

Conditions and measures related to biological sewage treatment plant

• Biological STP: Site specific [Effectiveness Water: 80.1%]

• Discharge rate of STP: $\geq 2E3$ m³/day

• Application of the STP sludge on agricultural soil: No

Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste (including article waste)

• Particular considerations on the waste treatment operations: No (low concentration)

Particular risks from waste treatment unlikely due low concentration of substance in waste stream. Waste disposal according to national/local legislation is sufficient. If the metal content of the waste is elevated enough, internal or external recovery/recycling is considered.

Fate (release percentage) in the biological sewage treatment plant

The biological STP is site specific and the releases to the various compartments have been set by the assessor for some assessment entities. They are distributed in the following way:

| Assessment entities | Ag dissolved |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Release to water | 19.9% |
| Release to air | 0% |
| Release to sludge | 80.1% |
| Release degraded | 0% |

Explanation for Ag dissolved:

Based on available monitoring data and values cited in the literature

9.2.1.2. Releases

The releases have been estimated on the basis of SPERC Eurometaux SPERC 5.2.v3: Industrial use of metals (compounds) in batteries

Modification date: 09/09/2021

Description of activities/processes covered by the SPERC

Since metal SPERCs are based on measured data at end-of-pipe on-site, all indicated PROCs are integrated in the release fractions from raw materials handling to cleaning and maintenance. Semi-finished products are further processed through a variety of mechanical processes to a variety of metal and alloy industrial and consumer products: machining (all processes in which a workpiece is modified by removing unwanted material in the form of turnings with the aim to obtain the desired shape, includes: turning, drilling, countersinking, reaming, planning, shaping, broaching, sawing, filing, rasping and grinding), cold forming, mechanical polishing (mechanical abrasion). Batch annealing where each workpiece is loaded into a furnace for static exposure to heat. Strand annealing where the workpiece passes continuously through the controlled atmosphere. Conform, heating and forming under pressure. Forging, heating of the workpiece; manual or automatic loading of the workpiece into a press containing two halves of a die; closing the dies around the metal to form the desired piece; ejection of workpiece; removal of the excess metal (flash) around the piece.

Product/substance domain:

Scope of the SPERC

User groups: Industrial use of metals (compounds) in batteries

Substance groups or functions: Metal (compounds)



Included in the metal definition (Eurometaux SPERCs):
alkali metals, alkaline earth metals, transition metals, post-transition metals, metalloids and their compounds
Excluded from the metal definition: non-metals, halogens, noble gases and metallo-organic compounds.
SPERC valid for metals with solid water partition coefficient for suspended matter between 25,000 L/kg and 300,000 L/kg.
Types of products: Metal and/or metal compounds (salts in solution)

Sub-SPERC Eurometaux SPERC 5.2.v3 is used for Ag dissolved:

Explanation for the release factor to water:

release after RMM

Default release factors are derived from a multi-metal background database of measured site-specific release factors collected from peer-reviewed EU Risk Assessment Reports under the former Directive of New and Existing Substances and REACH 2010 registration dossiers.

The 90th percentile of reported site-specific release factors to wastewater for 78 sites.

Explanation for the release factor to air:

release after RMM

Default release factors are derived from a multi-metal background database of measured site-specific release factors collected from peer-reviewed EU Risk Assessment Reports under the former Directive of New and Existing Substances and REACH 2010 registration dossiers.

The 90th percentile of reported site-specific release factors to air for 66 sites.

Explanation for the release factor to soil:

ERC default

The local releases to the environment are reported in the following table.

Table 9.17. Local releases to the environment

| Release | Assessment entity | Release factor | Local release rate |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Water | Ag dissolved | 3E-3% | 1.36E-3 kg/day |
| Air | Ag dissolved | 3E-3% | 1.36E-3 kg/day |
| Non agricultural soil | Ag dissolved | 1% | - kg/day |

Releases to waste

Release factor to external waste: 1 %

Default release factors are derived from a multi-metal background database of measured site-specific release factors collected from peer-reviewed EU Risk Assessment Reports under the former Directive of New and Existing Substances and REACH 2010 registration dossiers.

The 90th percentile of reported site-specific release factors to solid waste for 32 downstream user sites covering zinc, nickel, lead, antimony

9.2.1.3. Exposure and risks for the environment and man via the environment

The exposure concentrations and risk characterisation ratios (RCR) are reported in the following table. The exposure estimates have been obtained with EUSES 2.1.2 unless stated otherwise.

Table 9.18. Exposure concentrations and risks for the environment and man via the environment

| Protection target | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|
| Fresh water | Ag dissolved | Local PEC: 9.58E-6 mg/L RCR = 0.208 | Final RCR = 0.208 |
| Sediment (freshwater) | Ag dissolved | Local PEC: 1.825 mg/kg dw RCR = 4.17E-3 | Final RCR < 0.01 |
| Marine water | Ag dissolved | Local PEC: 2.26E-6 mg/L RCR = 2.63E-3 | Final RCR < 0.01 |
| Sediment (marine water) | Ag dissolved | Local PEC: 0.431 mg/kg dw RCR = 9.84E-4 | Final RCR < 0.01 |
| Sewage Treatment | Ag dissolved | Local PEC: 1.36E-4 mg/L | Final RCR < 0.01 |



| Protection target | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---|-------------------|--|---------------------|
| Plant | | RCR = 5.43E-3 | |
| Agricultural soil | Ag dissolved | Local PEC: 0.096 mg/kg dw RCR = 0.092 | Final RCR = 0.092 |
| Man via environment - Inhalation (systemic effects) | Ag dissolved | Concentration in air: 3.14E-7 mg/m ³ RCR = 2.09E-6 | Final RCR < 0.01 |
| Man via environment - Oral | Ag dissolved | Exposure via food consumption: 3.84 µg/kg bw/day (Measured data: See section 9.0.3.6) RCR = 0.035 | Final RCR = 0.035 |
| Man via environment - combined routes | | | Final RCR = 0.035 |

Remarks on measured exposure:

See section 9.0.3.6 for Ag dissolved:

Identity of the substance used: Ag

Explanation: Worst case exposure of 3.84 µg Ag/kg bw/day from food (section 9.0.3.6) was taken forward to the risk characterisation.

The intake via drinking water calculated with CHESAR was 3-4 orders of magnitudes lower compared to the intake via food and has thus not been taken into account.

9.2.2. Worker CS 2: Raw material handling (PROC 26, PROC 1, PROC 3)

Assessment entity group used for the assessment of this contributing scenario: HHRA powder handling, weighing, mixing

9.2.2.1. Conditions of use

| | Method |
|---|---------------|
| Product (article) characteristics | |
| • Physical form of the used product: Solid (material with high dustiness) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Percentage (w/w) of substance in mixture/article: <= 100 % | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure | |
| • Duration of activity: <= 8 h/day | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures | |
| • Occupational Health and Safety Management System: Advanced | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of use: Non-dispersive use | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of exposure control: Direct handling | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Contact level: Extensive | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Generic local exhaust ventilation: Lower confidence limit (industrial use) [Effectiveness Inhalation: 78%] <i>Standard efficiency</i> Inhalation explanation: <i>Efficiency for industrial use</i> | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | |
| • Dermal protection: Chemical resistant dermal protection with basic employee training. (effectiveness >= 90%) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Face/eye protection: Eye protection | |
| • Respiratory protection: Yes (APF >= 10) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Other conditions affecting workers exposure | |



| | Method |
|------------------------|--------|
| • Place of use: Indoor | |

9.2.2.2. Exposure and risks for workers

The exposure concentrations and risk characterisation ratios (RCR) are reported in the following table.

Table 9.19. Exposure concentrations and risks for workers

| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|
| Inhalation, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.22 mg/m ³ (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.169 | Final RCR = 0.169 |
| Dermal, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.141 mg/kg bw/day (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.307 | Final RCR = 0.307 |
| Combined routes, systemic, long-term | | | Final RCR = 0.476 |

Risk characterisation

Qualitative risk characterisation (Eye, local):

See section 9.0.4.2

9.2.3. Worker CS 3: Mixing (PROC 5)

Assessment entity group used for the assessment of this contributing scenario: HHRA

9.2.3.1. Conditions of use

| | Method |
|---|---------------|
| Product (article) characteristics | |
| • Physical form of the used product: Solid (material with high dustiness) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Percentage (w/w) of substance in mixture/article: <= 100 % | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure | |
| • Duration of activity: <= 8 h/day | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures | |
| • Occupational Health and Safety Management System: Advanced | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of use: Non-dispersive use | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of exposure control: Direct handling | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Contact level: Extensive | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Generic local exhaust ventilation: Lower confidence limit (industrial use) [Effectiveness Inhalation: 78%] <i>Standard efficiency</i> Inhalation explanation: <i>Efficiency for industrial use</i> | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | |
| • Dermal protection: Chemical resistant dermal protection with basic employee training. (effectiveness >= 90%) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Face/eye protection: Eye protection | |
| • Respiratory protection: Yes (APF >= 10) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Other conditions affecting workers exposure | |
| • Place of use: Indoor | |

9.2.3.2. Exposure and risks for workers



The exposure concentrations and risk characterisation ratios (RCR) are reported in the following table.

Table 9.20. Exposure concentrations and risks for workers

| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|
| Inhalation, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.55 mg/m ³ (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.423 | Final RCR = 0.423 |
| Dermal, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.034 mg/kg bw/day (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.075 | Final RCR = 0.075 |
| Combined routes, systemic, long-term | | | Final RCR = 0.498 |

Risk characterisation

Qualitative risk characterisation (Eye, local):

See section 9.0.4.2

9.2.4. Worker CS 4: Pelletizing (PROC 14)

Assessment entity group used for the assessment of this contributing scenario: HHRA pelletizing, pressing

9.2.4.1. Conditions of use

| | Method |
|---|---------------|
| Product (article) characteristics | |
| • Physical form of the used product: Solid (material with high dustiness) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Percentage (w/w) of substance in mixture/article: <= 100 % | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure | |
| • Duration of activity: <= 8 h/day | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures | |
| • Occupational Health and Safety Management System: Advanced | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of use: Non-dispersive use | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of exposure control: Direct handling | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Contact level: Extensive | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Generic local exhaust ventilation: Lower confidence limit (industrial use) [Effectiveness Inhalation: 78%] <i>Standard efficiency</i> Inhalation explanation: <i>Efficiency for industrial use</i> | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | |
| • Dermal protection: Chemical resistant dermal protection with basic employee training. (effectiveness >= 90%) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Face/eye protection: Eye protection | |
| • Respiratory protection: Yes (APF >= 10) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Other conditions affecting workers exposure | |
| • Place of use: Indoor | |

9.2.4.2. Exposure and risks for workers

The exposure concentrations and risk characterisation ratios (RCR) are reported in the following table.

Table 9.21. Exposure concentrations and risks for workers



| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------|
| Inhalation, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.034 mg/m ³ (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.026 | Final RCR = 0.026 |
| Dermal, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.034 mg/kg bw/day (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.075 | Final RCR = 0.075 |
| Combined routes, systemic, long-term | | | Final RCR = 0.101 |

Risk characterisation

Qualitative risk characterisation (Eye, local):
See section 9.0.4.2

9.2.5. Worker CS 5: Final handling (PROC 21)

Assessment entity group used for the assessment of this contributing scenario: HHRA battery assembly and packaging

9.2.5.1. Conditions of use

| | Method |
|--|---------------|
| Product (article) characteristics | |
| • Physical form of the used product: Solid object <i>'Massive object' was selected in MEASE to reflect the very low fugacity.</i> | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Percentage (w/w) of substance in mixture/article: <= 100 % | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure | |
| • Duration of activity: <= 8 h/day | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures | |
| • Occupational Health and Safety Management System: Advanced | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of use: Non-dispersive use | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of exposure control: Direct handling | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Contact level: Extensive | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Local exhaust ventilation: No | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | |
| • Dermal protection: Chemical resistant dermal protection with basic employee training. (effectiveness >= 90%) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Face/eye protection: Eye protection | |
| • Respiratory protection: No | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Other conditions affecting workers exposure | |
| • Place of use: Indoor | |

9.2.5.2. Exposure and risks for workers

The exposure concentrations and risk characterisation ratios (RCR) are reported in the following table.

Table 9.22. Exposure concentrations and risks for workers

| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|
| Inhalation, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.05 mg/m ³ (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.038 | Final RCR = 0.038 |
| Dermal, systemic, | Disilver oxide | 0.141 mg/kg bw/day (MEASE 1.02.01) | Final RCR = 0.307 |



| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| long term | | RCR = 0.307 | |
| Combined routes, systemic, long-term | | | Final RCR = 0.345 |

Risk characterisation

Qualitative risk characterisation (Eye, local):
See section 9.0.4.2

9.2.6. Worker CS 6: Cleaning and maintenance (PROC 28)

Assessment entity group used for the assessment of this contributing scenario: HHRA manual cleaning, repair and maintenance operations, removal of residuals from e.g. filters or as waste

9.2.6.1. Conditions of use

| | Method |
|---|---------------|
| Product (article) characteristics | |
| • Physical form of the used product: Solid (material with high dustiness) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Percentage (w/w) of substance in mixture/article: <= 100 % | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure | |
| • Duration of activity: <= 8 h/day | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures | |
| • Occupational Health and Safety Management System: Advanced | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of use: Non-dispersive use | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Pattern of exposure control: Direct handling | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Contact level: Extensive | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Generic local exhaust ventilation: Lower confidence limit (industrial use) [Effectiveness Inhalation: 78%] <i>Standard efficiency</i> Inhalation explanation: <i>Efficiency for industrial use</i> | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | |
| • Dermal protection: Chemical resistant dermal protection with basic employee training. (effectiveness >= 90%) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| • Face/eye protection: Eye protection | |
| • Respiratory protection: Yes (APF >= 20) | MEASE 1.02.01 |
| Other conditions affecting workers exposure | |
| • Place of use: Indoor | |

9.2.6.2. Exposure and risks for workers

The exposure concentrations and risk characterisation ratios (RCR) are reported in the following table.

Table 9.23. Exposure concentrations and risks for workers

| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|
| Inhalation, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.55 mg/m ³ (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.423 | Final RCR = 0.423 |
| Dermal, systemic, long term | Disilver oxide | 0.069 mg/kg bw/day (MEASE 1.02.01) RCR = 0.149 | Final RCR = 0.149 |



| Route of exposure and type of effects | Assessment entity | Exposure concentration | Risk quantification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Combined routes, systemic, long-term | | | Final RCR = 0.572 |

Remarks on exposure data from external estimation tools:

MEASE 1.02.01 for Disilver oxide:

Explanation:

As the MEASE 1.02.01 exposure estimation tool for workers does not provide exposure estimates for PROC 28, PROC 8a has been used instead as the input parameter assuming that there are similarities in the exposure.

Risk characterisation

Qualitative risk characterisation (Eye, local):

See section 9.0.4.2