

9.6 Industrial uses resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix

| 1. Title of Exposure Scenario number 9.6: Industrial uses resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix | |
|--|--|
| List of all use descriptors | SUs: 5, 6b, 13, 16, 19, 20, 23 PCs: 1, 8, 9a, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 AC: 8 ERC: 5 PROCs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 27b |
| Name of contributing environmental scenario and corresponding ERC | Contributing scenario 1 Environmental exposure scenario for industrial uses resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix ERC 5 |
| Names of contributing occupational scenario and corresponding PROCs | Contributing scenario 2 Handling of solutions containing silver nitrate during industrial use PROCs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 13, 15, 18, 19, 27b Contributing scenario 3 Handling of silver nitrate crystals during industrial use PROCs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 14, 15, 19, 21 |
| Assessment Method | Environmental modelling was carried out in EUSES 2.1. Occupational exposure was based on the exposure estimation tool MEASE and on measured data for PROC 7 (industrial spraying). |
| 2.1 Contributing exposure scenario 1 (environmental exposure scenario 1): Environmental exposure scenario for industrial uses resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix | |
| 2.1.1 Operational conditions and risk management measures | |
| ERC | REACH description |
| ERC 5 | Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix |
| 2.1.2 Control of environmental exposure | |
| Product characteristics | |
| <i>Solid, not biodegradable</i> | |
| Amounts used | |
| <i>Maximum site tonnage 483 tpa based on maximal reported site tonnage for the DU sector</i> | |
| Frequency and duration of use | |
| <i>Continuous use, 215 days/year based on SPERC factsheet 'Use of metals in metallic coating v2.1'</i> | |
| Monitored Emissions | |
| Yes | |
| Annual measured tonnage emitted to air/water | |
| <i>The highest reported tonnage and measured emissions were used for modelling. An emission of 0.0132% to water was used for this scenario based on measured data.</i> | |
| Environment factors not influenced by risk management | |
| <i>Default data for receiving water and for the municipal sewage treatment plant are 18 000 m³/d and 2000 m³/d, respectively (resulting dilution factor 10). For marine assessments a default additional tenfold dilution is assumed.</i> | |
| Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil | |
| <p><i>Air:</i> No measured emission data. Emissions from SPERC factsheet 'Use of metals in metallic coating v2.1'.</p> <p><i>Waste water:</i> Wastewater emissions are based on measured data which are considered representative of downstream use. Assumed waste water goes to sewage treatment works in local freshwater assessment. Assumed waste water by-passes sewage treatment works in local marine assessment.</p> <p><i>Soil:</i> There are no direct emissions to soil but it is assumed that sewage sludge is applied to land (which may not be applicable in many cases). Modelled release factors to air 0.5%, water 0.0132% before STP, soil 0%.</p> | |
| Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant | |
| <i>EUSES default STP with primary settler with effluent discharge rate 2000m³/d, serving 10000 inhabitants. Zero degradation assumed. Partitioning: 80.1% to sludge, 19.9 % to water calculated based on measured partition coefficients. Sludge assumed to be spread to agricultural land.</i> | |

Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Hazardous waste from onsite risk management measures and solid or liquid wastes from production, use and cleaning processes should be disposed of separately to hazardous waste incineration plants or hazardous waste landfills as hazardous waste. Releases to the floor, water and soil are to be prevented. If the silver content of the waste is elevated enough, internal or external recovery/recycling might be considered.

Fraction of daily/annual use expected in waste: 0%

Appropriate waste codes: 06 05 02*, 08 01 11, 08 03 12*, 09 01 01*, 09 01 03*, 09 01 04*, 09 01 05*, 09 01 06*, 09 01 13*, 10 06 06*, 10 07 01, 10 07 02, 10 07 03, 10 07 04, 10 07 05, 11 01 09*, 15 01 10*, 15 02 02*, 16 01 18, 16 03 03*, 16 08 01, 16 11 04

Suitable disposal: Hazardous waste produced during the manufacture and downstream use is sent to a recycler only marginal amounts are sent to a landfill or an incinerator. Waste containing silver is recycled for almost a 100%

A detailed assessment has been performed on modelled and measured data and is reported in the Waste report (ARCHE, 2013)

Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Waste generated under the form of sweeps, emission cleaning dusts and off spec material should be recycled into the processing system.

The focus of the silver industry is on the minimisation of waste by optimising the process and by utilizing residues and wastes as far as possible. The residues arising from different stages of the production process are therefore used as raw materials for other processes and an extensive network of metallurgical operators has been established for many years to increase the recovery of metals and eliminate the quantities of waste for disposal.

With regards to the end of life, silver is fully recyclable and the silver content in the end of life material often determines the value of the waste.

2.2 Contributing exposure scenario 2 (occupational exposure scenario 1): Handling of solutions containing silver nitrate during industrial use**2.2.1 Operational conditions and risk management measures**

| PROCs | REACH definition | Involved tasks |
|----------|--|--|
| PROC 1 | Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure | Processes for which the exposure potential is driven by the level of containment rather than the process itself (including calcination/process using the aqueous solution as input material in closed furnaces – please refer to the contributing ES for crystals for the associated output material). |
| PROC 2 | Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure | |
| PROC 3 | Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation) | |
| PROC 4 | Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises | |
| PROC 5 | Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact) | Mixing, blending |
| PROC 7 | Industrial spraying | Spraying |
| PROC 8a | Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities | Cleaning (i.e. removal of splashes) |
| PROC 8b | Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities | Handling of substances, shipping, transportation, weighing, packaging and unpacking |
| PROC 9 | Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing) | Handling of substances, shipping, transportation, weighing, packaging and unpacking |
| PROC 13 | Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring | Immersion operations, acid polishing, anodising |
| PROC 15 | Use as laboratory reagent | Laboratory reagent, sampling for quality assurance |
| PROC 18 | Greasing at high energy conditions | Greasing |
| PROC 19 | Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available | Hand-mixing |
| PROC 27b | Production of metal powders (wet processes) | Production of metal powders by wet metallurgical processes (electrolysis, wet dispersion) |

2.2.2 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristics

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. To determine the dustiness of a substance, a dustiness test may be performed. The rotating drum (modified Heubach) method can be used, to reflect potential dustiness during handling of a substance. In hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential. Although handling of aqueous solutions is usually associated with a very low emission potential, the spraying of aqueous solutions is assumed to be involved with medium emission. Further information can be found in the glossary of the MEASE tool (www.ebrc.de/mease.html).

| PROC | Use in preparation | Content in preparation | Physical form | Emission potential |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| PROC 7 | | not restricted | aqueous solution | medium |
| All other applicable PROCs | | not restricted | aqueous solution | very low |

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled/used per shift is not explicitly considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROCs and technical conditions) is the main determinant of the process-intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

| PROC | Duration of exposure (per shift/day) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| All applicable PROCs | 480 minutes (not restricted) |

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume covering all process steps is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

| PROC | Level of containment | Level of segregation |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| PROC 1 | closed process | not required |
| PROC 2 | closed process | not required |
| PROC 3 | closed process | not required |
| PROC 7 | full containment | silver application booth |
| All other applicable PROCs | Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes. | |

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

| PROC | Level of separation | Localised controls (LC) | Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)* | Further information |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|
| PROC 4 | Separation of workers is generally not required. | local exhaust ventilation | 78 % | - |
| PROC 5 | | local exhaust ventilation | 78 % | - |
| PROC 18 | | emission reduction because of the paste-like nature of the grease (wet suppression to be selected in MEASE as a surrogate) | 89 % | - |
| PROC 27b | | local exhaust ventilation | 78 % | - |
| All other applicable PROCs | | not required | na | - |

*It has to be assured that localised controls like local exhaust ventilation systems are inspected and maintained at appropriate frequencies to guarantee the functionality and efficiency.

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

General good occupational hygiene practices are required to ensure safe handling of the substance. These include (i) measures to avoid any contamination of private households via the work-home-interface (e.g. shower and change clothes at end of work shift), (ii) good housekeeping practices in the workplace (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices and immediate cleaning in case of splashes and overspill), and (iii) measures to minimise inadvertent ingestion exposure (e.g. no eating and smoking in the workplace). In general, inhalation and ingestion of the substance should be avoided. Certified working clothing and shoes should be worn during work. In addition, the following principles should be followed: (i) ensure good general ventilation in the workplace, and (ii) do not blow dust (including dust remaining from dried splashes) off with compressed air. Regular training of workers in workplace hygiene practice and proper use of personal protective equipment is required.

| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| PROC | Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE) and assigned protection factor (APF) | Specification of gloves | Further personal protective equipment (PPE) |
| PROC 8a | FFP2 mask APF=10 | Since silver nitrate is classified as corrosive to skin, protective gloves according to EN 374 have to be worn at all workplaces unless any contact with the substance can be excluded by the emission potential of silver nitrate resulting from the nature of the process, applied exposure prevention measures and physical appearance of silver nitrate in the specific type of application (e.g. protecting from splashes by containment of emission source). Gloves have to be changed according to manufacturer's information or when damaged, whatever is the earlier. | Due to the eye damaging properties of silver nitrate, direct contact with the eyes is to be avoided including hand to eye transfer after touching contaminated surfaces. Suitable eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless contact of the substance with the eye can be excluded. Such exclusion is determined by: (i) the physical appearance of the substance in the specific type of application (e.g. wetting the substance can effectively prevent from the emission of dust), (ii) the emission potential resulting from the nature of the process (e.g. splashes, emission of dust can be excluded in a closed process) and (iii) applied exposure prevention measures (segregation of the emission source or separation of the worker from the emission source). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate. |
| PROC 19 | | | |
| PROC 27b | | | |
| All other applicable PROCs | not required | | |
| <p>The use of personal protective equipment should always be seen as a last resort after operational conditions and further risk management measures have been improved.</p> <p>Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE.</p> <p>For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.</p> <p>The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.</p> <p>An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.</p> | | | |
| 2.3 Contributing exposure scenario 3 (occupational exposure scenario 2): Handling of silver nitrate crystals during industrial use | | | |
| 2.3.1 Operational conditions and risk management measures | | | |
| PROCs | REACH definition | Involved tasks | |
| PROC 1 | Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure | Processes for which the exposure potential is driven by the level of containment rather than the process itself. | |
| PROC 2 | Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure | | |
| PROC 3 | Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation) | | |
| PROC 4 | Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises | | |
| PROC 5 | Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact) | Mixing, blending | |
| PROC 8a | Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities | Cleaning and maintenance | |
| PROC 8b | Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities | Handling of substances, shipping, transportation, weighing, packaging and unpacking | |
| PROC 9 | Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing) | Handling of substances, shipping, transportation, weighing, packaging and unpacking | |
| PROC 14 | Production of preparations or articles by tableting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation | Processing of preparations and/or substances into preparations or articles. | |
| PROC 15 | Use as laboratory reagent | Laboratory reagent, sampling for quality assurance | |
| PROC 19 | Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available | Hand-mixing | |
| PROC 21 | Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles | Manual cutting, cold rolling or assembly | |

2.3.2 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristics

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. To determine the dustiness of a substance, a dustiness test may be performed. The rotating drum (modified Heubach) method can be used, to reflect potential dustiness during handling of a substance. In hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential. Although handling of aqueous solutions is usually associated with a very low emission potential, the spraying of aqueous solutions is assumed to be involved with medium emission. Further information can be found in the glossary of the MEASE tool (www.ebrc.de/mease.html).

| PROC | Use in preparation | Content in preparation | Physical form* | Emission potential* |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| All applicable PROCs | not restricted | | crystals | very low |

*For the exposure assessments in MEASE, the physical form "massive object" is used as a surrogate in order to reflect the very low emission potential of silver nitrate crystals. Since the combination of "massive object" and PROC 19 is not possible in MEASE, exclusively for this process step, "aqueous solution" was used in order to reflect a very low emission potential of silver nitrate crystals.

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled/used per shift is not explicitly considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROCs and technical conditions) is the main determinant of the process-intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

| PROC | Duration of exposure (per shift/day) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| All applicable PROCs | 480 minutes (not restricted) |

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume covering all process steps is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

| PROC | Level of containment | Level of segregation |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------|
| PROC 1 | closed process | not required |
| PROC 2 | closed process | not required |
| PROC 3 | closed process | not required |
| All other applicable PROCs | Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes. | |

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

| PROC | Level of separation | Localised controls (LC) | Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)* | Further information |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------|
| PROC 4 | Separation of workers is generally not required. | local exhaust ventilation | 78 % | - |
| PROC 5 | | local exhaust ventilation | 78 % | - |
| PROC 21 | | local exhaust ventilation | 78 % | - |
| All other applicable PROCs | | not required | na | - |

*It has to be assured that localised controls like local exhaust ventilation systems are inspected and maintained at appropriate frequencies to guarantee the functionality and efficiency.

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

General good occupational hygiene practices are required to ensure safe handling of the substance. These include (i) measures to avoid any contamination of private households via the work-home-interface (e.g. shower and change clothes at end of work shift), (ii) good housekeeping practices in the workplace (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices and immediate cleaning in case of splashes and overspill), and (iii) measures to minimise inadvertent ingestion exposure (e.g. no eating and smoking in the workplace). In general, inhalation and ingestion of the substance should be avoided. Certified working clothing and shoes should be worn during work. In addition, the following principles should be followed: (i) ensure good general ventilation in the workplace, and (ii) do not blow dust (including dust remaining from dried splashes) off with compressed air. Regular training of workers in workplace hygiene practice and proper use of personal protective equipment is required.

| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| PROC | Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE) and assigned protection factor (APF) | Specification of gloves | Further personal protective equipment (PPE) |
| PROC 8a | FFP2 mask APF=10 | Since silver nitrate is classified as corrosive to skin, protective gloves according to EN 374 have to be worn at all workplaces unless any contact with the substance can be excluded by the emission potential of silver nitrate resulting from the nature of the process, applied exposure prevention measures and physical appearance of silver nitrate in the specific type of application (e.g. protecting from splashes by containment of emission source). Gloves have to be changed according to manufacturer's information or when damaged, whatever is the earlier. | Due to the eye damaging properties of silver nitrate, direct contact with the eyes is to be avoided including hand to eye transfer after touching contaminated surfaces. Suitable eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless contact of the substance with the eye can be excluded. Such exclusion is determined by: (i) the physical appearance of the substance in the specific type of application (e.g. wetting the substance can effectively prevent from the emission of dust), (ii) the emission potential resulting from the nature of the process (e.g. splashes, emission of dust can be excluded in a closed process) and (iii) applied exposure prevention measures (segregation of the emission source or separation of the worker from the emission source). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate. |
| PROC 19 | | | |
| All other applicable PROCs | not required | | |

The use of personal protective equipment should always be seen as a last resort after operational conditions and further risk management measures have been improved.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE.

For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

3.1 Environmental emissions (Contributing scenario 1)

Environmental modelling was carried out in EUSES 2.1

Local PEC (*) used to calculate the maximal tonnage for safe use under default conditions

| Air mg/m ³ (RCR) | Fresh water mg/L (RCR) | Marine water mg/L (RCR) | Sediment freshwater mg/kg wwt (RCR) | Sediment marine water mg/kg wwt (RCR) | Soil mg/kg wwt (RCR) | STP mg/L (RCR) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1.84E-03 (NA) | 6.64E-06 (0.166)* | 2.2E-06 (2.56E-03) | 0.275 (2.89E-03) | 0.0912 (9.57E-04) | 0.575 (0.463) | 2.24E-05 (8.94E-04) |

3.2a Occupational exposure (Contributing scenario 2), Handling of solutions containing silver nitrate during industrial use - Exposure estimation, reference to its source and quantitative risk characterisation

Reference to the applied methodology for each of the assessments is given below and a detailed description of the individual approaches is provided in the introduction. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the exposure estimate and the DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is calculated by considering the DNEL (long-term, systemic) for silver nitrate of 0.016 mg/m³ (corresponding to 0.01 mg Ag/m³).

| PROC | Method used for inhalation exposure assessment (refer to introduction) | Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR) |
|----------|--|------------------------------------|
| PROC 1 | MEASE | 0.001 mg/m ³ (0.06) |
| PROC 2 | MEASE | 0.001 mg/m ³ (0.06) |
| PROC 3 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 4 | MEASE | 0.011 mg/m ³ (0.69) |
| PROC 5 | MEASE | 0.011 mg/m ³ (0.69) |
| PROC 7 | measured data | 0.006 mg/m ³ (0.39) |
| PROC 8a | MEASE | 0.005 mg/m ³ (0.31) |
| PROC 8b | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 9 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 13 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 15 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 18 | MEASE | 0.011 mg/m ³ (0.69) |
| PROC 19 | MEASE | 0.005 mg/m ³ (0.31) |
| PROC 27b | MEASE | 0.0022 mg/m ³ (0.14) |

3.2b Occupational exposure (Contributing scenario 2), Handling of solutions containing silver nitrate during industrial use - Qualitative risk characterisation

In the table on the scope of risk characterisation above, human health effects were identified for which a qualitative risk characterisation has to be conducted. For all identified routes and types of effects, the qualitative risk characterisation can be found in the table below.

| Route of exposure and type of effects | Prescribed Risk Management Measures and Operational Conditions | Risk characterisation |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Dermal, local effect / long-term | Due to the potential of the substance to cause local effects, appropriate personal protective equipment is prescribed to be worn unless any contact with the substance can be excluded by the emission potential of the substance resulting from the nature of the process, applied exposure prevention measures and physical appearance of the substance in the specific type of application (e.g. protecting from splashes by containment of emission source). | Although the quantitative risk characterisation, already demonstrated that the prescribed operational conditions and risk management measures effectively control exposure well below the respective DNELs, it cannot be excluded that residual exposure concentrations may lead to local effects. As a precautionary measure, it is therefore prescribed to use personal protective equipment in situations in which such residual exposure concentrations cannot be excluded. The risk of local effects is therefore adequately controlled. |
| Dermal, local effect / acute | | |
| Eyes, local effect | | |

3.3a Occupational exposure (Contributing scenario 3), Handling of silver nitrate crystals during industrial use - Exposure estimation, reference to its source and quantitative risk characterisation

The exposure estimation tool MEASE (version 1.02.01) was used for the assessment of occupational exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the exposure estimate and the DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL (long-term, systemic) for silver nitrate of 0.016 mg/m³ (corresponding to 0.01 mg Ag/m³).

| PROC | Method used for inhalation exposure assessment (refer to introduction) | Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR) |
|---------|--|------------------------------------|
| PROC 1 | MEASE | 0.001 mg/m ³ (0.06) |
| PROC 2 | MEASE | 0.001 mg/m ³ (0.06) |
| PROC 3 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 4 | MEASE | 0.011 mg/m ³ (0.69) |
| PROC 5 | MEASE | 0.011 mg/m ³ (0.69) |
| PROC 8a | MEASE | 0.005 mg/m ³ (0.31) |
| PROC 8b | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 9 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 14 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 15 | MEASE | 0.01 mg/m ³ (0.63) |
| PROC 19 | MEASE | 0.005 mg/m ³ (0.31) |
| PROC 21 | MEASE | 0.011 mg/m ³ (0.69) |

3.3b Occupational exposure (Contributing scenario 3), Handling of silver nitrate crystals during industrial use - Qualitative risk characterisation

In the table on the scope of risk characterisation above, human health effects were identified for which a qualitative risk characterisation has to be conducted. For all identified routes and types of effects, the qualitative risk characterisation can be found in the table below.

| Route of exposure and type of effects | Prescribed Risk Management Measures and Operational Conditions | Risk characterisation |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Dermal, local effect / long-term | Due to the potential of the substance to cause local effects, appropriate personal protective equipment is prescribed to be worn unless any contact with the substance can be excluded by the emission potential of the substance resulting from the nature of the process, applied exposure prevention measures and physical appearance of the substance in the specific type of application (e.g. protecting from splashes by containment of emission source). | Although the quantitative risk characterisation, already demonstrated that the prescribed operational conditions and risk management measures effectively control exposure well below the respective DNELs, it cannot be excluded that residual exposure concentrations may lead to local effects. As a precautionary measure, it is therefore prescribed to use personal protective equipment in situations in which such residual exposure concentrations cannot be excluded. The risk of local effects is therefore adequately controlled. |
| Dermal, local effect / acute | | |
| Eyes, local effect | | |

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

4.1 Environmental emissions (Contributing scenario 1)

A user is consistent with this ES if, either:

A) The proposed risk management measures, and conditions of use, as described above are met, or
 B) The downstream user can demonstrate that their operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate, by reference to one or more of the following:

- 1) Where relevant measured ambient data in the receiving environment (obtained in accordance to the REACH guidance on monitoring data) demonstrate exposures below the following PNEC concentrations:
 PNEC_{freshwater}: 0.04 µg Ag/L (Soluble Ag)
 PNEC_{marine}: 0.86 µg Ag/L (Soluble Ag)
 PNEC_{sediment freshwater}: 438 mg Ag/kg dwt
 PNEC_{sediment marine}: 438 mg Ag/kg dwt
 PNEC_{soil}: 1.24 mg Ag/kg wwt
 PNEC_{STP}: 0.025 mg Ag/L (Soluble Ag)

- 2) In the event the user has measured data available but not exactly those required as per REACH guidance, the user can still compare effluent concentrations with the following default emissions calculated for the default ES described above:

Concentration in waste water released to freshwater STP must be < 6.67 µg Ag/L (soluble silver) to ensure that the risk characterisation ratio does not exceed 1.

Concentration in waste water released to marine water must be < 86 µg Ag/L (soluble silver) to ensure that the risk characterisation ratio does not exceed 1.

The user may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MetalEUSES (free download: <http://www.arche-consulting.be/Metal-CSA-toolbox/duscaling-tool>) to estimate the associated exposure for other parameters than the default ones included here above to demonstrate safe use under this specific scenario or situation.

- 3) In case **no safe use can be demonstrated under 1 or 2 above** based on monitoring data, but the downstream user has knowledge on emitted annual or daily loads, he can compare its emission to water to the emission ratio listed below:

The RCR will be equal to or lower than those stated above if they emit less than 0.0133 kg Ag/day to on site or off site wastewater treatment works (equivalent to 0.0025 kg Ag/day to the receiving water).

- 4) In case the user does not have emission or ambient measured info he can use the Metal EUSES scaling tool (free download: <http://www.arche-consulting.be/Metal-CSA-toolbox/duscaling-tool>) to estimate the associated exposure for other parameters than those included here above to demonstrate safe use under this specific scenario or situation.

4.2 – 4.3 Occupational exposure (Contributing scenarios 2 - 3)

The downstream user (DU) works inside the boundaries set by the ES if the proposed operational conditions (OCs) and risk management measures (RMMs) as described in the exposure scenario (ES) are met (including substance/product characteristics). If the DU's conditions slightly deviate (such deviations are specified below) from the conditions as described in the ES, the DU may either inform the supplier of the substance to reflect the DU's conditions in a modified exposure scenario or has to ensure his slightly modified OCs and implemented RMMs are adequate. Depending on the basis for the exposure assessment (EA) conducted for the ES, this needs to be done in different ways:

Use of measured data as basis for assessment: If the EA in the ES is based on measured data, the same approach can be used at DU level. Please note that 6 measurements per workplace are required for an EA as a minimum. Depending on the variability of the data sets (expressed as the geometric standard deviation) and the level of the resulting risk characterisation ratio (RCR), additional measurements may be required. Only measurements of personal exposure of the inhalable fraction of airborne dust (according to EN 481) should be used. The exposure data shall either be applicable to the length of a specific task to be assessed or to a full-shift (i.e. sampled over a duration of at least 120 min) if the task to be assessed is conducted for a significant portion of the work shift. From the exposure data set the 90th percentile is to be used as a reasonable worst case (RWC) estimate for comparison with the relevant DNEL. RPE may be taken into account by applying the assigned protection factor applicable to the equipment used as given in EN 529:2005.

It is noted that deviations from the ES are only allowed for the efficacy of installed RMMs (but not the type of RMM), exposure duration and personal protective equipment used. However, due to the acute local (corrosive) effects of silver nitrate, exposure duration should not be used as a risk management measure when assessing exposure using MEASE.

Use of exposure models: If the EA in the ES is based on modelled data, the same model can be used to justify specific slight deviations from the conditions as described. All parameters needed to run the exposure estimation tool MEASE (available on www.ebrc.de/mease.html) can be found in the ES. It is noted that the installation of the described RMMs is mandatory and that exclusively the modification of the used PPE is allowed as deviation. The only parameters which may therefore be modified in the MEASE-calculation are consequently exposure duration, efficacy of the installed RMMs and PPE.

Generic to both assessment bases: Safe use is demonstrated, if the calculated exposure level is below the relevant DNEL (RCR <1). It is noted that smaller RCRs provide additional margin of safety and should therefore be envisaged.

DNEL_{inhalation}: 0.016 mg/m³ (as silver nitrate)