

MISA Key performance indicator grid / program level

	Benchmarks				Realisation	
	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Good "Performance"	Excellent "Leadership"	Progress made	Measurement 2019
Participation of consortia	Major Eurometaux consortia have not signed up; for important metals participation is missing; Only known active consortia take part.	Large majority of Eurometaux members are participating;	Large majority Eurometaux members and affiliates have signed up; Over time additional consortia/industry groups join. Proactive participation from consortia with data poor substances	Participation from more than 90% of consortia/industry groups that cover both metal compounds and majority of inorganics, including those represented by Cefic, ORs, importers		List of consortia; Percentage of metal groups participating (relative to registered substance list). List of metal groups and inorganics not participating in MISA
Coverage of relevant metal /inorganic compounds	A number of important substances (in terms of hazards and uses) are not covered	High volume and data rich substances are covered; Most high volume data poor substances covered, Low volume substances may be missing	Most compounds are covered; High and low volume substances are included; both data rich and data poor substances.	Most registered metal compounds and inorganics are covered; only low tonnage substances are missing		Percentage of all substance participating (relative to registered substance list). Percentage of substances by volume band of participating consortia in program
Activity level and involvement in rolling action plan	Lack of progress measurement and lacking annual update of the Rolling Plan	A majority of participants in MISA attend workshops actively; Agreements made in workshops are followed up; Work plans are submitted by most consortia	Learnings from workshops actively incorporated in work plans and dossier updates.	More than 90% of MISA consortia/industry associations participate in workshops; Industry best practices are set as standard and followed by others and is demonstrated in work plans and updates		Participation lists of workshops; Analysis of work plans and realised updates

<p>General progress achieved on scientific or technical issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Endpoints b. Exposure c. UVCBs d. Rapid removal e. MFA, supply chain impurities 		<p>Programme designed to tackle the issue (e.g. RR process, UVCB) including deliverables)</p>	<p>Participation from all relevant actors to debate/conclude on the issues (e.g. RR: all actors from ECHA, authorities to industry; on UVCB: ECHA, industry)</p>	<p>Deliverables/outcomes agreed and ready to apply. Wide acceptance (industry, authorities) of scientific/methodological outcomes on all issues covered</p>	<p>Overview of issues concluded; Availability of work shop reports and summaries State of play of ongoing discussions</p>
<p>Completeness and quality of consortia work plans</p>	<p>Less than 65% of MISA signatories submitted work plans, or do not implement findings of workshops into their work plan.</p>	<p>Majority (more than 65%) of consortia have translated part of the outcomes of workshops/guidance into actions in the work plans;</p>	<p>Majority (more than 65%) of consortia have translated most topics addressed in workshops / guidance / scientific development have been taken up work plans. Work plans are made with clear timings.</p>	<p>Large majority (more than 90%) of consortia have topics addressed in workshops / guidance / scientific development taken up in their work plans where relevant, with realistic timelines.</p>	<p>Analysis of work plans regarding timelines and areas of improvement;</p>
<p>Results per priority</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Improvement hazard information 	<p>Majority (more than 65%) of work plans / updates focus on changing justifications for waivers and read across without generating additional data;</p>	<p>Majority (more than 65%) of work plans / updates focus on changing justifications for waivers and read across; Some studies to generate data are planned.</p>	<p>Majority (more than 65%) of consortia have work plans that provide compliant justification; Studies are performed to underpin read across; TPEs are submitted for data poor substances to have sufficient data density;</p>	<p>Large majority (more than 90%) of consortia have identified data gaps and covered with new data, studies or TPEs; Read Across and waivers are following RAAF and accepted; PNEC/DNEL derivations updated in line with recommendations of workshops; Updates and proposals within 6 months</p>	<p>Analysis of work plans: data generation, improved justifications for read across and waivers. Overview of planned updates and testing proposals;</p>
<p>Results per priority</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. UVCB guide 	<p>No deliverable</p>	<p>Industry internal guidance SAT available from a majority (65% of those for which the UVCB issue is relevant) of consortia Work plans available for a</p>	<p>Approved guidance SAT available from almost all consortia having UVCBs Work plans with concrete actions and realistic timelines available for almost all relevant substances</p>	<p>All relevant dossiers have update plans according to guidelines</p>	<p>Availability of guideline documents, SATs, work plans</p>

		majority of relevant substances				
Results per priority c. RR /Env classification	No deliverable	All activities setup to discuss the RR concept from a scientific point of view have been carried out with reports on outcomes and papers	Agreed way forward to consider Rapid Removal (from testing protocol to use of results)	Revision of self-classification in work plans following TDP tests in line with guidance and implemented RR concept where relevant		Availability of work shop documents, decision in Caracal Analysis of work plans
Results per priority d. Remaining priorities	To be defined later e.g.			Several guidance documents will be made available so that consortia can improve their assessments where required; Update of existing Metals Appendix available on ECHA website to include aspects on Man via the Environment assessment; updated dossiers		To be determined later
Improvement in registration dossiers realised (for each MISA priority)	Majority Less than 50% of updates is not realised in time; Important incompliances exist in many dossiers;	Several consortia are lagging behind; Updates are on average not resulting in compliant dossiers	A majority progress according to planning Improvement track even encouraged those not toxic to submit an action plan.	More than 90% of the planned updates are realised approximately in the set time lines, resulting in compliant dossiers		Following update history Screening of dossier or compliance check for selected dossiers
Member State and ECHA participation		A number of MSCAs are following and participating	A large group of MSCAs actively follow programme and take part in developments and or comments on programme			
Industry resources made available	Insufficient resources impede progress of rolling action plan			Consortia/industry groups proactively make resources (expertise, budget) available to implement action plans and contribute to scientific/methodological development.		Eurometaux survey

MISA key performance grid / consortium level (self assessment as proposed by Eurometaux 2017)

Company X	Basic	Performance	2020*	Outstanding	Leadership
Hazard	All REACH endpoints covered including waivers	External review of Higher Tier waivers + I-UVCB combined tox resolves	Higher Tier challenges resolved and externally audited	Unique world wide effects data set	tbd
Exposure	Good quality modeling	tbc	Monitoring data sets for all main users and regional assessments available	Exposure assessment program in place and checked by all users	Exposure program based on excess risk rather than RCRs
Prioritisation	Scheme to implement gap filling available	Overall sensitivity assessment for CSR/RA improvement	Materials flow and diffuse sources assessment to define best options for RMOS	RMOa assessment for self reflection on all uses	Combined RMOa assessment and prioritisation with users and stakeholders
Integration	REACH and CLP compliant	tbc	Including circular economy aspects	Integrated strategy with EQS, OEL and climate targets	Full integration with WWSD sustainability criteria
RM/RR	All RCRs < 1	improving assessment schemes based on RCRS	Continuous risk reduction and proposals for RM based on diffuse sources & Material Flow	Integrated RR-RM program with user groups	Target setting on RMM together with societal groups Substitution where feasible
Supply chain	ES in order	Active communication policy towards user groups	Interactive program with user groups on exposure and RMM aspects	World wide programme	tbc
Quality assurance	Internal quality check	External validation by theme	Overall external quality audit	Annual external reporting of audit results	Benchmarking with other sectors + strive for best position
			* SAICM inspired		